

## EXAMINATION #2 ANSWER KEY “Consumers and Demand”

---

### Version A

---

#### I. Multiple choice

- (1)b . (2)d. (3)b. (4)e. (5)b. (6)d. (7)b. (8)a. (9)c. (10)b.

#### II. Short answer

- (1) a. elastic. b. decrease. c. 6 %.  
d. decrease. e. 2 %.
- (2) a. luxury or superior good. b. increase. c. 7 %.  
d. increase. e. 2 %.
- (3) Note: This graph is based on Slutsky's approach to income and substitution effects, not Hicks's approach.  
a. \$4. b. 10 units. c. \$10.  
d. 3 units. e. -5 units. f. -2 units.
- (4) a.  $\varepsilon^{\text{comp}} = -0.34$ . b. decrease. c. 4 % (using  $\varepsilon$ ).  
d. decrease e. 3.4 % (using  $\varepsilon^{\text{comp}}$  ).
- (5) a. Laspeyres = 140. b. Paasche = 130. c.  $\sqrt{140 \times 130}$  .

#### III. Problems

- (1) [Budgets and choice]  
a. Equation for budget line (income=spending):  $100 = 5 q_1 + 4 q_2$  .  
b.  $\text{MRSC} = \text{MU}_2/\text{MU}_1 = q_1 / (q_2 - 5)$  .  
c. Solve the tangency condition ( $\text{MRSC} = p_2/p_1 = 4/5$ ) jointly with equation for budget line (see part a) to get  $q_1^* = 12$ ,  $q_2^* = 10$ .
- (2) [Properties of individual demand functions]  
a. Homogeneity of degree zero means that if income and all prices are multiplied by the same factor, the quantity demanded never changes. Check homogeneity of the demand function by replacing  $I$  by  $(aI)$ , replacing  $p_1$  by  $(ap_1)$ , and replacing  $p_2$  by  $(ap_2)$ , where  $a$  is an arbitrary factor:

$$\begin{aligned} & 10 (a I)^{0.9} (a p_1)^{-0.3} (a p_2)^{0.1} \\ &= a^{0.9} a^{-0.3} a^{0.1} (10 I^{0.9} p_1^{-0.3} p_2^{0.1}) \\ &= a^{0.7} (10 I^{0.9} p_1^{-0.3} p_2^{0.1}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the “a” factor does *not* cancel. So multiplying income and prices by some arbitrary positive factor  $a$  *does* change the quantity demanded. The function is NOT homogeneous of degree zero in income and prices.

- b.  $\frac{\partial q_1^*}{\partial p_1} = -0.3 (10 I^{0.9} p_1^{-1.3} p_2^{0.1})$  . This expression is negative, so good #1 is an ordinary good, not a Giffen good.
- c.  $\frac{\partial q_1^*}{\partial I} = 0.9 (10 I^{0.9} p_1^{-0.3} p_2^{0.1})$  . This expression is positive, so good #1 is a normal good, not an inferior good.
- d.  $\frac{\partial q_1^*}{\partial p_2} = 0.1 (10 I^{0.9} p_1^{-0.3} p_2^{-0.9})$  . This expression is positive, so goods #1 and #2 are substitutes, not complements.

- (3) [Finding individual demand functions]

- a.  $MRS = MU_2/MU_1 = (5 q_1^2 q_2^4) / (2 q_1 q_2^5) = (5q_1) / (2q_2)$ .
- b. Solve  $MRS = (5q_1) / (2q_2) = p_2/p_1$  (the tangency condition) jointly with  $I = p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_2$  (the budget constraint) to get  $q_1^* = \frac{2I}{7 p_1}$ , and
- c.  $q_2^* = \frac{5I}{7 p_2}$ .

[There are many ways to solve the equations jointly. One way to begin is to cross-multiply the tangency condition to get  $(5/2)p_1 q_1 = p_2 q_2$ . Then substitute into the budget constraint:  $I = p_1 q_1 + (5/2)p_1 q_1$ . Then solve for  $q_1^*$  as a function of  $p_1$  and  $I$ . Finally, substitute your expression for  $q_1^*$  into either the budget constraint or the tangency condition and solve for  $q_2^*$  as a function of  $p_2$  and  $I$ .]

#### IV. Critical thinking

- (1) a. Target indifference curve:  $600 = q_1 q_2$  .  
 b. Tangency condition:  $MRSC = p_2/p_1$  , which here is  $q_1/q_2 = 2/3$  .  
 c. Solving the two equations above jointly gives  $q_1^* = 20$  and  $q_2^* = 30$ .  
 d. Minimum income required is  $p_1 q_1^* + p_2 q_2^* = 3 \times 20 + 2 \times 30 = \$120$ .
- (2) Demand is given by  $q_1^* = 15 p_1^\varepsilon p_2^\alpha I^\eta$  . Homogeneity of degree zero means that if income and prices are all multiplied by some factor (a) then that factor cancels out, leaving the original demand function. In other words, quantity demanded is unaffected by perfectly balanced inflation. So we check homogeneity of the demand function by replacing  $p_1$  by  $(ap_1)$ , replacing  $p_2$  by  $(ap_2)$ , and replacing  $I$  by  $(aI)$ , and simplifying as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} q_1^* &= 15 (ap_1)^\varepsilon (ap_2)^\alpha (aI)^\eta \\ q_1^* &= 15 a^\varepsilon p_1^\varepsilon a^\alpha p_2^\alpha a^\eta I^\eta \\ q_1^* &= a^\varepsilon a^\alpha a^\eta 15 p_1^\varepsilon p_2^\alpha I^\eta \\ q_1^* &= a^{\varepsilon+\alpha+\eta} 15 p_1^\varepsilon p_2^\alpha I^\eta \end{aligned}$$

Now the factor (a) cancels out if and only if  $a^{\varepsilon+\alpha+\eta} = 1$ , which is true if and only if the exponent equals zero:  $\boxed{\varepsilon + \alpha + \eta = 0}$ , answer.

---

## Version B

---

### I. Multiple choice

- (1)c. (2)d. (3)d. (4)e. (5)e. (6)b. (7)c. (8)b. (9)c. (10)d.

### II. Short answer

- (1) a. inelastic. b. increase. c. 3 %.  
 d. decrease. e. 1 %.
- (2) a. necessary good. b. increase. c. 3 %.  
 d. decrease. e. 2 %.
- (3) Note: This graph is based on Slutsky's approach to income and substitution effects, not Hicks's approach.  
 a. \$2. b. 10 units. c. \$8.  
 d. 2 units. e. -6 units. f. -2 units.
- (4) a.  $\epsilon^{\text{comp}} = -0.56$ . b. decrease. c. 6 % (using  $\epsilon$ ).  
 d. decrease e. 5.6 % (using  $\epsilon^{\text{comp}}$ ).
- (5) a. Laspeyres = 160. b. Paasche = 130. c.  $\sqrt{160 \times 130}$ .

### III. Problems

- (1) [Budgets and choice]  
 a. Equation for budget line (income=spending):  $100 = 5 q_1 + 4 q_2$ .  
 b.  $\text{MRSC} = \text{MU}_2/\text{MU}_1 = \frac{q_1+4}{q_2}$ .  
 c. Solve the tangency condition ( $\text{MRSC} = p_2/p_1 = 4/5$ ) jointly with equation for budget line (see part a) to get  $q_1^* = 8$ ,  $q_2^* = 15$ .
- (2) [Properties of individual demand functions]  
 a. Homogeneity of degree zero means that if income and all prices are multiplied by the same factor, the quantity demanded never changes. Check homogeneity of the demand function by replacing  $I$  by  $(aI)$ , replacing  $p_1$  by  $(ap_1)$ , and replacing  $p_2$  by  $(ap_2)$ , where  $a$  is an arbitrary factor:

$$\begin{aligned} & ((aI) + 2(ap_2))(ap_1)^{-1} + 7 \\ &= a((I) + 2(p_2))a^{-1}(p_1)^{-1} + 7 \\ &= (I + 2p_2)p_1^{-1} + 7. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the “ $a$ ” factor cancels. So multiplying income and prices by some arbitrary positive factor  $a$  *does not* change the quantity demanded. The function is homogeneous of degree zero in income and prices.

- b.  $\frac{\partial q_1^*}{\partial p_1} = (I + 2p_2)(-1)p_1^{-2}$ . This expression is negative, so good #1 is an ordinary good, not a Giffen good.
- c.  $\frac{\partial q_1^*}{\partial I} = p_1^{-1}$ . This expression is positive, so good #1 is a normal good, not an inferior good.

d.  $\frac{\partial q_1^*}{\partial p_2} = 2 p_1^{-1}$ . This expression is positive, so goods #1 and #2 are substitutes, not complements.

(3) [Finding individual demand functions]

a.  $MRS = MU_2/MU_1 = (4 q_1^3 q_2^3) / (3 q_1^2 q_2^4) = (4q_1) / (3q_2)$ .  
b. Solve  $MRS = (4q_1) / (3q_2) = p_2/p_1$  (the tangency condition) jointly with  $I = p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_2$  (the budget constraint) to get  $q_1^* = \frac{3I}{7p_1}$ , and

c.  $q_2^* = \frac{4I}{7p_2}$ .

[There are many ways to solve the equations jointly. One way to begin is to cross-multiply the tangency condition to get  $(4/3) p_1 q_1 = p_2 q_2$ . Then substitute into the budget constraint:  $I = p_1 q_1 + (4/3) p_1 q_1$ . Then solve for  $q_1^*$  as a function of  $p_1$  and  $I$ . Finally, substitute your expression for  $q_1^*$  into either the budget constraint or the tangency condition and solve for  $q_2^*$ .]

#### IV. Critical thinking

Same as Version A.

[end of answer key]