ECON 173 - Intermediate Microeconomic Analysis Drake University, Fall 2018 William M. Boal

EXAMINATION #2 ANSWER KEY "Consumers and Demand"

Version A

I. Multiple choice

 $(1)b\ .\ (2)b.\ (3)d.\ (4)c.\ (5)d.$

II. Short answer

(1)	Monotonicity implies that bundles above and to the right of X are more preferred, while bundles below and to the left of X are less preferred			
	a. cannot be determined.	b. more preferred.	c. more preferred.	
	d. less preferred.	e. less preferred.		
(2)	a. elastic.	b. decrease.	c. 6 %.	
	d. decrease.	e. 2 %.		
(3)	a. necessary good.	b. increase.	c. 2 %.	
	d. decrease.	e. 6 %.		
(4)	Note: This graph is based on Hal Varian's presentation of income and substitution			
	effects in his intermediate microeconomics textbooks. Other textbooks offer different			
	graphical presentations.			
	a. \$3.	b. 11 sandwiches.	c. \$12.	
	d. 4 sandwiches.	e2 sandwiches.	f5 sandwiches.	
(5)	a. $\varepsilon^{\text{comp}} = -0.9$.	b. decrease.	c. 7.2 %.	
	d. 1.8 %.	e. 5.4 %.		
(6)	a. Laspeyres = 160.	b. Paasche = 145 .	c. $\sqrt{160 \times 145}$.	

III. Problems

- (1) [Budgets and choice]
 - a. Equation for budget line: $42 = 3 q_1 + 2 q_2$.
 - b. MRSC = MU₂/MU₁ = $(2 q_1^{1/2}) / q_2^{1/2}$.

c. Solve the tangency condition (MRSC = $p_2/p_1 = 2/3$) jointly with equation for budget line (see part a) to get $q_1^* = 2$, $q_2^* = 18$.

(2) [Properties of individual demand functions]

a. Homogeneity of degree zero means that if income and all prices are multiplied by the same factor, the quantity demanded never changes. Check homogeneity of the demand function by replacing I by (aI), replacing p_1 by (ap_1), and replacing p_2 by (ap_2):

$$20 (a p_1)^{-0.7} (a I)^{1.5} (a p_2)^{-0.1} = a^{-0.7} a^{1.5} a^{-0.1} (20 p_1^{-0.7} I^{1.5} p_2^{-0.1}) = a^{0.7} (20 p_1^{-0.7} I^{1.5} p_2^{-0.1})$$

Note that the "a" factor does not cancel. So multiplying income and prices by some arbitrary positive factor a *does* change the quantity demanded. The function <u>is NOT</u> <u>homogeneous of degree zero</u> in income and prices.

b.
$$\frac{\partial q_1}{\partial p_1} = -0.7 \ (20 \ p_1^{-1.7} \ I^{1.5} \ p_2^{-0.1})$$
. This expression is negative, so good #1 is

an <u>ordinary good</u>, not a Giffen good.

c. $\frac{\partial q_1^*}{\partial I} = 1.5 (20 \ p_1^{-0.7} \ I^{0.5} \ p_2^{-0.1})$. This expression is positive, so good #1 is a normal good, not an inferior good.

d.
$$\frac{\partial q_1^*}{\partial p_2} = -0.1 \ (20 \ p_1^{-0.7} \ I^{1.5} \ p_2^{-1.1})$$
. This expression is negative, so goods #1

and #2 are <u>complements</u>, not substitutes.

[Finding individual demand functions] a. MRS = MU₂/MU₁ = q₁ / (3 q₂). Solve MRS = p₂/p₁ jointly with I = p₁q₁ + p₂q₂ to get b. q₁* = $\frac{3I}{4 p_1}$, and c. q₂* = $\frac{I}{4 p_2}$.

III. Critical thinking

(3)

(1) Demand for this good is <u>inelastic</u> because spending rises when the price increases. To compute the price elasticity of demand, recall that for small percent changes,

% change spending = % change price + % change quantity Substituting the numbers given in the problem:

7% = 10% + % change quantity

So the percent change in quantity is -3%. The price elasticity of demand equals percent change in quantity divided by percent change in price = -3%/10% = -0.3.

(2) **Diagram proof:** Abby originally chose the tangency point between the budget line and the indifference curve. Now the price of food has risen, so Abby's budget line becomes flatter. However, with her \$300 increase in income, Abby can still afford her old bundle including 100 units of food per month. So Abby's new budget line passes through her old bundle, but with a flatter slope. Abby can now afford a more preferred bundle, below and to the right of her old bundle, with less food and more other goods. So Abby will buy *less food* than before.



- Algebraic proof: With only a price increase, the total effect on Abby's demand would (2)
- be given by the Slutsky equation—that is, income effect plus substitution effect: $\Delta q = -\Delta p \ q \ \frac{\partial q^*}{\partial I} + \Delta p \ \frac{\partial q^*}{\partial p}\Big|_{sub} = -\$3 \times 100 \ \frac{\partial q^*}{\partial I} + \$3 \ \frac{\partial q^*}{\partial p}\Big|_{sub} = -\$300 \ \frac{\partial q^*}{\partial I} + \$3 \ \frac{\partial q^*}{\partial p}\Big|_{sub}.$ Simultaneously, however, Abby's income increases by \$300. This change in income, by itself, would have an effect on Abby's demand given by + \$300 $\frac{\partial q_*}{\partial I}$ So Abby's increase in income exactly cancels the income effect above, leaving only the substitution effect,

$$\Delta q = \$3 \left. \frac{\partial q \ast}{\partial p} \right|_{sub} \, .$$

Since the substitution-effect partial derivative is always negative, Δq in this expression is negative. So Abby will buy less food than before.

Version B

I. Multiple choice

(1)c. (2)a. (3)c. (4)c. (5)b.

II. Short answer

- (1)Monotonicity implies that bundles above and to the right of X are more preferred, while bundles below and to the left of X are less preferred
 - a. more preferred. b. more preferred. c. cannot be determined.
- d. less preferred. e. less preferred. a. inelastic. b. decrease. c. 4 %. (2)d. increase. e. 1 %. a. luxury or superio good. b. increase. c. 6 %. (3) d. increase. e. 2 %.

Note: This graph is based on Hal Varian's presentation of income and substitution (4) effects in his intermediate microeconomics textbooks. Other textbooks offer different graphical presentations.

	a. \$10.	b. 3 mini-pizzas.	c. \$3.
	d. 9 mini-pizzas.	e. 4 mini-pizzas.	f. 2 mini-pizzas.
(5)	a. $\varepsilon^{\text{comp}} = -0.7$.	b. decrease.	c. 9 %.
	d. 2 %.	e. 7 %.	
(6)	a. Laspeyres $= 112$.	b. Paasche $= 108$.	c. $\sqrt{108 \times 112}$.

III. Problems

- [Budgets and choice] (1)
 - a. Equation for budget line: $75 = 6 q_1 + 3 q_2$.
 - b. MRSC = $MU_2/MU_1 = \frac{q_1}{2(q_2-10)}$.

c. Solve the tangency condition (MRSC = $p_2/p_1 = 2/1$) jointly with equation for budget line (see part a) to get $q_1^* = 5$, $q_2^* = 15$.

(2)[Properties of individual demand functions]

> a. Homogeneity of degree zero means that if income and all prices are multiplied by the same factor, the quantity demanded never changes. Check homogeneity of the demand function by replacing I by (aI), replacing p_1 by (ap_1) , and replacing p_2 by (ap_2) : (0

$$\frac{a I)+2 (a p_2)}{(a p_1)} = \frac{a (I+2 p_2)}{a (p_1)} = \frac{I+2 p_2}{p_1}.$$

Note that the "a" factor cancels. So multiplying income and prices by some arbitrary positive factor a *does not* change the quantity demanded. The function is homogeneous of degree zero in income and prices.

b. $\frac{\partial q_1^*}{\partial p_1} = -(I+2p_2)p_1^{-2}$. This expression is negative, so good #1 is an <u>ordinary</u>

good, not a Giffen good.

c. $\frac{\partial q_1^*}{\partial l} = 1/p_1$. This expression is positive, so good #1 is a <u>normal good</u>, not an inferior good.

d. $\frac{\partial q_1^*}{\partial p_2} = 2/p_2$. This expression is positive, so goods #1 and #2 are <u>substitutes</u>, not complements.

(3) [Finding individual demand functions]

> a. MRS = $MU_2/MU_1 = (3 q_1) / (2 q_2)$. Solve MRS = p_2/p_1 jointly with $I = p_1q_1 + p_2q_2$ to get b. $q_1^* = \frac{2I}{5p_1}$, and c. $q_2^* = \frac{3I}{5p_2}$.

III. Critical thinking

Same as Version A.

[end of answer key]